



Fabio Pierella and Henrik Bredmose

Effect of the shape of extreme waves on the loads on a 15MW wind turbine



The shape of waves matters...





...especially when computing extreme wave loads



Damsgaard et al. (2007) Horns Rev I

- Stochastic behavior of waves
- Complex physics
 - Wave nonlinearity
 - Wave slamming
- How to model loads accurately?



Approach 1: A single large nonlinear wave with prescribed height (IEC Standard)

IEC61400-3:2019

"Design requirements for fixed offshore wind turbines"



Stream Function Wave (Dean 1965, Rienecker and Fenton 1981)



Approach 2: calculate the wave which is most likely to generate a force peak



New Force model (Schløer et al. 2017)



FORM + OceanWave3D (Ghadirian and Bredmose 2019)



Current Work: compute the whole distribution of waves and associated loads





Current Work: compute the whole distribution of waves and associated loads





WAVE KINEMATICS



Current Work: compute the whole distribution of waves and associated loads





The wave kinematics model: DeRisk Database



- Online database of nonlinear wave kinematics hosted on <u>https://data.dtu.dk/</u>
- Fully-nonlinear potential flow solver OceanWave3D (Engsig-Karup et al. 2009)
- Validated against DeRisk Experiments (Pierella et al. 2021, *Marine Structures*)





The non-slamming wave load model: Rainey (1995)









CFD Impact Bredmose and Jacobsen (2011)











Bredmose and Jacobsen (2011)



The pressure impulse model









The pressure impulse model $P(r, z, \theta) = \int_{t}^{t_{b}} p(r, z, \theta, t) dt$









The pressure impulse model
$$P(r, z, \theta) = \int_{t_a}^{t_b} p(r, z, \theta, t) dt$$



The slamming load model: Validation (Ghadirian, Pierella and Bredmose 2023)





```
The pressure impulse model

P(r, z, \theta) = \int_{t_a}^{t_b} p(r, z, \theta, t) dt
```



The slamming load model: Validation (Ghadirian, Pierella and Bredmose 2023)

(b)0.030 0 2D 3D 0.025 -0.20.2 0.2 Measured, Comb 0.020 Measured, Comb OceanWave3D+PIMP OceanWave3D+PIMP -0.4DHO 0.15 0.15 0.015 Probability Probability -0.60.1 0.1 0.010 -0.80.005 0.05 0.05 0 -1.00 0 0 1 1.5 0.5 1.5 -10.5 1 1 2 2 0 Force impulse/($\rho R^2 U_{p,linear} H_s$) [-] Force impulse/($\rho R^2 U_{p,linear} H_s$) [-] θ/θ_{max}

> The pressure impulse model $P(r, z, \theta) = \int_{t_a}^{t_b} p(r, z, \theta, t) dt$

Model



The slamming load model: Validation (Ghadirian, Pierella and Bredmose 2023)



The combined wave load model: Rainey (1995) + P.Imp. (Ghadirian&Bredmose 2019)



Department of Wind and Energy Systems



Q1: How well can we reproduce measured loads that include slamming?

	Test No.	Water depth [m]	Hs [m]	Tp [5]	Directional Spread, σ _p [deg]	Approx. return period [year]	Duration [hrs]	ems
Vandation.	1	33	8.5	13.5	o	10	>24	
The DeRick Experiments	2	33	8.5	13.5	22	10	>70	
The Dentisk Experiments	3	33	8.5	13.5	33	10	>24	
	4	33	7.5	12	22	10	>70	
	5	33	7.5	15	22	10	>70	
	6	33	9.5	12	22	100	>70	
	7	33	9.5	15	22	100	>70	
	8	33	11	15	22	1000	>70	
	9	33	7.5	12	o	10	6	
	10	33	7.5	15	0	10	6	
33 m depth	11	33	9.5	12	0	100	6	
	12	33	9.5	15	0	100	6	
	13	33	11	15	0	1000	6	
	14	20	5.8	12	22	10	>70	
	15	20	5.8	15	22	10	>70	
	16	20	6.8	12	22	100	>70	
	17	20	6.8	15	22	100	>70	
and the second sec	18	20	7.5	15	22	1000	>70]
	19	20	5.8	9	22	1000	>70	
	20	20	5.8	12	0	10	6	
2D and 3D sea states 10, 100, 1000 year return period	21	20	5.8	15	0	10	6]
Duration $(3D) > 70$ hours	22	20	6.8	12	0	100	6	
20 m depth	23	20	6.8	15	0	100	6	
	24	20	7.5	15	0	1000	6	
Thursday, 26 January 2023 Fabio Pierella	25	20	5.8	9	O	1000	6	



Test 11 (Hs=9.5m, Tp=15.0 s, h=33.0m)



CD=1.0, CM=1.80



Test 23 (Hs=6.8m, Tp=12.0 s, h=20.0m)



CD=1.0, CM=1.73

Department of Wind and Energy Systems



Q2: How do the extreme load waves look, when you also include slamming loads?



Shapes of the waves for $P_{exc} = 0.01$ Test 11(Hs=9.5m, Tp=15.0 s, h=33.0m)

Test 11, P=0.01





Shapes of the waves for $P_{exc} = 0.001$ Test 11(Hs=9.5m, Tp=15.0 s, h=33.0m)



Test 11, P=0.001



Shapes of the waves for $P_{exc} = 0.01$ Test 23 (Hs=6.8m, Tp=12.0 s, h=20.0m)



Test 23, P=0.01



Shapes of the waves for $P_{exc} = 0.001$ Test 23 (Hs=6.8m, Tp=12.0 s, h=20.0m)



Test 23, P=0.001

Department of Wind and Energy Systems



Q3: How can we use this in a design context?



Shape of extreme loads and associated waves on the IEA 15MW wind turbine



Gaertner et al. (2020)

GERMAN BIGHT (33 [m])



Sørensen et al. (2021) Hindcast data (black) + statistical extrapolation (colored)



Shape of extreme loads and associated waves on the IEA 15MW wind turbine

 F_{H}^{25}

Chosen 10 sea states Applied force model

$$C_D = 0.6, C_M = 2.0$$

GERMAN BIGHT (33 [m])



Sørensen et al. (2021) Hindcast data (black) + statistical extrapolation (colored)



We obtain time series of loads

- Where is the force / moment largest?
 - Histogram of max loads P=1e-3





Sea State 4 (Hs=9.97m,Tp=13.1s)





Test 4, P=0.01



Sea State 4 (Hs=9.97m,Tp=13.1s)

DeRidder et al. (2017)



20





Conclusions

- 1. How well can we reproduce measured loads that include slamming?
 - a. Overall agreement of simulated and experimental exceedance probability η and F
 - b. Wave shapes well captured, more challenging in tail of distribution

- 2. How do the extreme load waves look, when you also include slamming loads?
 - a. Average force shape shows typical "hat" due to slamming
 - b. Increased front steepness for extreme load waves with lower exceedance probabilities
- 3. How can we utilize this in a design context?
 - a. Tested method on a rigid monopile (D = 10m)
 - b. Along a contour: largest $H_s \Rightarrow$ largest load
 - c. For lower exc.prob. the average wave shape deviates more from SF wave